

Pioneering Deep Learning for Wireless

Ben Hilburn



Let  $\varphi(\cdot)$  be a nonconstant, bounded, and monotonically-increasing continuous function. Let  $I_m$  denote the *m*-dimensional unit hypercube  $[0,1]^m$ . The space of continuous functions on  $I_m$  is denoted by  $C(I_m)$ . Then, given any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any function  $f \in C(I_m)$ , there exist an integer N, real constants  $v_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}$  and real vectors  $w_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , where  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , such that we may define:

$$F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N v_i arphi \left( w_i^T x + b_i 
ight)$$

as an approximate realization of the function f where f is independent of  $\varphi$ ; that is,

$$|F(x)-f(x)|<\varepsilon$$

for all  $x \in I_m$ . In other words, functions of the form F(x) are dense in  $C(I_m)$ .



"The theorem thus states that simple neural networks can *represent* a wide variety of interesting functions when given appropriate parameters; however, it does not touch upon the algorithmic learnability of those parameters."

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Universal\_approximation\_theorem



# Machine Learning

Training



Figure 1: Deep learning training compared to inference. In training, many inputs, often in large batches, are used to train a deep neural network. In inference, the trained network is used to discover information within new inputs that are fed through the network in smaller batches.



Image Credit: https://devblogs.nvidia.com/inference-next-step-gpu-accelerated-deep-learning/

# Machine Learning for RF

Replacing signal processing with machine learning

- Applying the concept of <u>Software 2.0</u> to RF systems
- In all deep learning applications, the data is the key.
  - <u>"...in the future your data is your company's source code."</u> J. Huang, NVIDIA CEO
- Two primary product areas: sensing and *learned* physical layers



## Data for Sensing





## Learned Physical Layers

- Creating a *learned physical layer* means training over a channel or channel model.
- One of our techniques is *learning* a channel model on which to train a PHY.
- Put differently, we use Deep Learning to approximate channel models.
  - The machines outperform us.







#### Learned Channel Models



### Training over Simple Channel Models

#### Training a simple 32-QAM autoencoder for an AWGN channel





### Training over Insane Channel Models

#### Training a 32-QAM system over harsh TDRSS TWTA non-linearities





# (Ballmer voice) Data, Data, Data!

- We are using the Signal Metadata Format (SigMF) for everything
  - <u>https://sigmf.org</u>
  - Disclaimer: I'm the lead developer of SigMF, but I'm totally not biased.
  - Specification for describing recordings of digital samples with JSON
- Based on our experience thus far, *real data*<sup>™</sup> is critical
  - Actually making useful datasets with *real data*<sup>™</sup> turns out to be rather difficult
  - We are collecting, processing, and labeling live captures